Development of a novel clinical scoring system to predict Moderate to Severe Obstructive Sleep Apnea in patients undergoing bariatric surgery

Moderate to Severe OSA >> Perio-op cardiopulmonary complications
Recommend to use CPAP 2 weeks before surgery to reduce their risks

The objective is to create a <u>scoring system that can</u> <u>predict the presence of Moderate to Severe OSA</u> who require special preventive measures.

PGY-4 Resident TEERAPAP GARIGARN M.D. Professor. SIRIPONG CHEEWATANAKORNKUL M.D., FRCST.

Methods

- Single center study: Prince of Songkhla university, Thailand
- Included patients who underwent bariatrics surgery and had a preoperative polysomnography results (STOP-BANG score >=3)
- Total 646 patients were included.
 - Mild OSA; 138 patients (21.3%)
 - Moderate; 133 patients (20.5%)
 - Severe; 331 patients (51.2%)
- >> Moderate-Severe OSA 464 (71.7%)

Odd ratio of significant predictor for Moderate to Severe OSA

Predictors	OR ratio (95% CI)
$Age \ge 31$	3.49 (2.28-5.35)
Male gender	1.92 (1.14-3.26)
Neck circumference ≥42 cm	4.19 (2.66-6.62)
Snoring	2.86 (5.54-5.30)
Choking	2.13 (1.38-3.30)
Friedman tongue position Grade III-IV	2.09 (1.14-3.26)

2 Points

2 Points

	Models	Moderate-Severe OSA, AUC
	1 st model	
	Age ≥ 31	
	NC ≥ 42	0.763
	Male sex	
ROC curve	Snoring	
analysis for each	2 nd model	
	Age ≥ 31	
model of the	NC ≥ 42	0.786
	Male sex	
scoring system for	Snoring	
predicting Moderate-Severe	Choking	
biedicuis	3 rd model	
Moderate-Severe	Age ≥ 31	
	NC ≥ 42	0.706
OSA	Male sex	0.796
	Snoring	
	Choking Friedman tongue position Gr III-IV	
	4 th model *	
	Age ≥ 31	
	NC≥42	0.801
- M	Sted Male sex Snoring	0.001
	Choking	
	BMI ≥ 45	

The predictive parameters in our final model for predicting Moderate-Severe OSA are categorized into high risk or low risk based on the scoring system.									
		A Market							
Score	High risk	Low risk	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy	PPV	NPV	OR	
	N, (%)	N, (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(95% CI)	
1 vs < 1	640 (99.0%)	6 (1%)	99.8	27.5	72.4	72.3	83.3	13.0 (1.51-112.7)	

	N, (%)	N, (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(95% CI)
1 vs < 1	640 (99.0%)	6 (1%)	99.8	27.5	72.4	72.3	83.3	13.0 (1.51-112.7)
$\geq 2 \text{ vs} < 2$	610 (94.4%)	36 (5.6%)	97.4	13.2	73.7	74.1	67.7	5.7 (2.8-11.7)

Score	High risk	Low risk	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy	PPV	NPV	OR
	N, (%)	N, (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(95% CI)
1 vs < 1	640 (99.0%)	6 (1%)	99.8	27.5	72.4	72.3	83.3	13.0 (1.51-112.7)
≥ 2 vs < 2	610 (94.4%)	36 (5.6%)	97.4	13.2	73.7	74.1	67.7	5.7 (2.8-11.7)
\geq 3 vs < 3	559 (86.5%)	87(13.5%)	94.4	33.5	77.2	78.4	70.1	8.4 (5.1-14.0)

82.4

93.4

96.7

99.5

68.1

57.4

45.2

32.8

90.1

94.4

95.1

96.9

46.3

39.3

33.6

29.5

7.8 (5.1-11.9)

10.8 (5.8-20.0)

9.7 (4.2-22.6)

12.9 (1.7-95.6)

 \geq 5 vs < 5

 \geq 6 vs < 6

 \geq 7 vs < 7

 \geq 8 vs < 8

322 (49.8%)

213 (33.0%)

122 (19.9%)

32 (5.0%)

324 (50.2%)

433 (67.0%)

524 (81.1%)

614 (95%)

62.5

43.3

25.0

66.8

based on the scoring system.										
		a with								
Score	High risk	Low risk	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy	PPV	NPV	OR		
	N, (%)	N, (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(95% CI)		
1 vs < 1	640 (99.0%)	6 (1%)	99.8	27.5	72.4	72.3	83.3	13.0 (1.51-112.7)		
\geq 2 vs < 2	610 (94.4%)	36 (5.6%)	97.4	13.2	73.7	74.1	67.7	5.7 (2.8-11.7)		
\geq 3 vs < 3	559 (86.5%)	87(13.5%)	94.4	33.5	77.2	78.4	70.1	8.4 (5.1-14.0)		
≥ 4 vs < 4	458 (70.9%)	188 (29.1)	83.6	61.5	77.4	84.7	59.6	8.1 (5.5-12.0)		