Rossella Palma¹, Ludovic Marx², Luigi Angrisani³, Hadrien Tranchart⁴, Ibrahim Dagher⁴, Kostantinos Arapis⁵, Roberto Arienzo⁶, Filippo Pacini⁶, Antonio D'Alessandro⁶, Giovanni Domenico De Palma⁷, Jean-Marc Chevallier⁶, Gianfranco Donatelli^{7,8}

1 Department of Surgical Sciences, "Sapienza" University of Rome, Rome, Italy

2 ELSAN, Clinique Orangerie, Strasbourg, France

3 Department of Public Health, "Federico II" University of Naples, Naples, Italy

4 Department of Minimally Invasive Digestive Surgery, Antoine Béclère Hospital, AP-HP, Clamart, F-92140, France; Paris-Sud University, Orsay, F-91405, France.

5 Department of General and Visceral Surgery, Bichat-Claude Bernard University Hospital, Paris, France.

6 Centre Obésité Paris Peupliers, Hôpital Privé des Peupliers, Ramsay Santé, Paris, France.

7 Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University of Naples "Federico II", 80131 Naples, Italy.

8 Unité d'Endoscopie Interventionnelle, Ramsay Générale de Santé, Hôpital Privé des Peupliers, 8 Place de l'Abbé G. Hénocque, 75013, Paris, France.



[] I have nopotential conflict of interest to report



BACKGROUND

Bariatric surgery (BS) is currently the most effective and durable intervention for severe obesity. Endoscopic procedures (EP) for weight loss are rapidly rising both in primary and revisional setting. Unfortunately insufficient weight loss (IWL) and weight regain (WR) are common and represent the most common indication for revisional surgery.

Coffeeter

The **aim** of this study was to evaluate the **feasibility and safety** of **revisional surgery** in patients underwent endoscopic treatment for weight loss including both **primary** (Endoscopic sleeve gastroplasty: ESG) and **revisional** (Transoral outlet reduction endoscopy: TORe) endoscopic procedures.



MATERIALS AND METHODS

- This is a multicenter retrospective study with a prospective maintained database of patients who underwent primary ESG or TORe that required revision surgery for IWL from 2016 to 2021.
- **35 patients** were enrolled (16 female, mean age= 44,9 years). The mean **BMI** at EP was **40,4 kg/m²** (range= 33-51). 26 patients underwent primary ESG and 9 pts underwent TORe for weight regain after RYGB. The timespan between EP and surgery was 20 months (range 6-48).
- At the last follow-up before surgery the TWL was 15% on average (range= 3-65%) with a mean weight regain of 15,4 kg.





MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preoperative endoscopic view of a primary ESG







MATERIALS AND METHODS

Intraoperative view of an ESG







MATERIALS AND METHODS

Intraoperative fluoroscopy



MATERIALS AND METHODS

Endoscopic view of a TORe and a revisional Banded RYGB







MATERIALS AND METHODS

RYGB resizing in a previous TORe







RESULTS

- No leaks and fistula, as well as no stenosis, were reported, only one bleeding in 2 POD that was conservatively treated.
- All patients underwent an **esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) in the pre-surgical setting.** In order to tailor the staple line, intraoperative **fluoroscopy control** was used in 24 patients and **intraoperative EGD** in 3. At a mean follow up of 43,5 months patients are doing well with a TWL of 43,9%.



Based on our data bariatric surgery after primary or revisional endoscopic procedures is technically feasible and safe. However in 27 out 35 pts (77%) of patients, intraoperative fluoroscopy or endoscopy were needed to avoid tags and to keep out previous sutures from the staple line.



Thank you!

NAPOLI 2023

540

X IFSO

XXVI IFSO WORLD CONGRESS OF BARIATRIC & METABOLIC SURGERY

NAPOLI, ITALY | Mostra d'Oltremare 30 AUGUST - 1 SEPTEMBER, 2023

Congress President: Prof. Luigi Angrisani