

GERD Questionnaire Post SG With Gastropexy and Omentopexy Vs Without Aly Elbahrawy, MD MSc PhD FACS FASMBS Bariatric Surgery Consultant Head of Bariatric Surgery Department King Abdullah Medical City – Makkah



Conflicts of interest

Nothing to disclose



Introduction





GERD is reported in 35% post LSG



Aim of study

- To study the effect of adding gastropexy and omentopexy to LSG on GERD symptoms
- Compare short term complications, %EWL and need for LSG revision with gastropexy and omentopexy Vs without





Methodology

Prospective double-blinded quantitive interview as well as retrospective cohort analysis of prospectively collected data.



All patients who underwent LSG at KAMC between February 2019 and February 2021



Excluded patients with significant hiatal hernia that required intra-operative repair.



Group A \rightarrow LSG + gastropexy & omentopexy. Group B \rightarrow LSG alone



Conducted a phone interview using GERD-Q questionnaire.

- Data were analyzed using Chi-test according to categorical variables.
- Mann-Whitney test and T-test for continuous variables

	Symptoms	Presence by days			
	Qusetion	0	1	2 – 3	4 -7
_	How often did you have a burning feeling behind your breastbone?	0	1	2	3
_	How often did you have stomach contents moving upwards to your throat or mouth?	0	1	2	3
	How often did you have pain in the center of the upper stomach?	3	2	1	0
_	How often did you have nausea?	3	2	1	0
_	How often did you have difficulty getting a good nights sleep because of your heartburn and/or regurgitation?	0	1	2	3
5.	How often did you take additional medications for your heartburn and/or regurgitation other than what your physician told you to take?	0	1	2	3





Baseline Characteristics

	Gastropexy & Omentopexy	Non-Gastropexy &Omentopexy	P-Value
Number of patients	Total of 195.	Total of 210.	
Age, Mean <u>+</u> SD	39.51 <u>+</u> 11.04.	38.12 + 10.04.	0.187
Gender	74 (37.9) 121 (62.1)	70 (33.3) 140 (66.7)	0.33
Initial weight, Mean <u>+</u> SD	131.61 <u>+</u> 23.3.	126.53 <u>+</u> 24.22.	0.033
Initial BMI, Mean <u>+</u> SD	49.56 <u>+</u> 7.35.	48.56 <u>+</u> 10.37.	0.034
			الصلا <u>ة حبد الم</u> الطيية ABDULLAH MEDICAL C د

Potential confounder





Results







Secondary objectives

	Gastropexy	Non-Gastropexy	P-Value
Revision	5 (2.6).	2 (1.0).	0.241
Weight at last f/u Mean <u>+</u> SD	82.75 <u>+</u> 15.68.	85.31 <u>+</u> 15.9.	0.20
BMI at last f/u Mean <u>+</u> SD	31.22 <u>+</u> 16.29.	31.62 <u>+</u> 16.7.	0.31
% EWL at last f/u Mean <u>+</u> SD	62.12 <u>+</u> 62.1.	58.75 <u>+</u> 80.3.	0.46



Limitations & Conclusions

- Study was neither prospective nor randomized
- GERD symptoms occurrence in both groups were equal (30%) and comparable to the literature
- Adding gastropexy and omentopexy to LSG did not affect incidence of GERD symptoms



Recommendations

• To preform RCT to compare incidence of GERD in both techniques

 Add more objective means to measure GERD post LSG such as upper endoscopy, pH meter, manometry, as well upper GI imaging study.





